Original research

# Anaerobic digestion for treatment of polyester wastewater with different organic loading rates (OLRs)

M. Mahmoud<sup>1</sup>, Mahmoud Elsayed<sup>1</sup>, Mohamed Soltan<sup>1</sup>, Amr M. Wahaballa<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Civil Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, Aswan University, Aswan 81542, Egypt

Received: 30/12/2023 © Unit of Environmental Studies and Development, Aswan University

Accepted: 1/2/2024

E-mail: AUJES@aswu.edu.eg

#### Abstract:

In this work, the performance of up-flow anaerobic staged reactor (UASR) was investigated for biogas production from polyester wastewater which are contaminated with heavy metals and 1,4-dioxane. three different values of average organic loading rates (OLRs) were applied  $0.31\pm0.037$ ,  $0.71\pm.082$ , and  $1.069\pm0.27$  g COD /1.d, respectively at constant hydraulic retention time (HRT) of 96 h, in order to study their effects on biogas production obtained at three applied OLR were  $14.7 \pm 0.17$  l/ d. at OLR  $1.069\pm0.27$  g COD /1.d.,  $7.5 \pm 0.2$  l/ d. at OLR  $0.71\pm.082$  g COD /1.d., and of  $5.5 \pm 0.11$  l/d at low OLR,  $0.31\pm0.037$  g COD /1.d , respectively. Biogas is produced by the metabolism of acetic acid, lactic acid, and a small amount of propionic acid. Moreover, CODt and CODs removals efficiency were 79% and 80% at OLR  $0.71\pm.082$  g COD/1.d. Effect of C/N ratio and heavy metals on biogas production were highlighted. Additionally, different HRTs were studied, to carefully describe the performance of UASR.

**Keywords:** up-flow anaerobic staged reactor, biogas, polyester, heavy metals, 1,4-dioxane, hydraulic retention times, organic loading rates.

#### **1- Introduction**

Many factories use chemicals in their manufacture in order to ensure the quality of their products, as they contain waste that contains some chemicals and is therefore difficult to treat. The treatment of organic waste has recently received great attention, due to the potential of energy generated from this waste as well as to prevent its harmful environmental effects. (Zupančič and Grilc, 2012).

One of these factories produces unsaturated polyester resins, which require a large amount of water use; producing large quantities of wastewater containing Mono-ethylene glycol (MEG), Di-ethylene glycol (DEG), and 1,4-dioxane.

Corresponding author\*: E-mail address: mohamed.mahmoud@eng.aswu.edu.eg

High levels of Chemical oxygen demand (COD) in wastewater range from 130 to 150 g COD/l.d and toxic contents, in addition to low pH of 3-5, complex composition, and rarity of nitrogen and phosphorus. If this effluent of wastewater is directly released into the environment without being treated, it will negatively affect the receiving water, harm the ecosystem, and maybe endanger human health (Rott and Minke, 1999). The primary cause of wastewater treatment process disruptions is frequently heavy metals, which can be found in considerable concentrations in certain industrial wastewater and sludge (Peng et al., 2011; Fang and Chan, 1997). Depending on their concentration, heavy metals can be poisonous, catalytic, or both in biological reactions. However, a little concentration of heavy metals is required to activate a large number of enzymes and co-enzymes (Demirel and Scherer, 2011). Furthermore, overindulgence may result in toxicity or inhibition (Vallee and Ulmer, 1972). Heavy metals are not biodegradable, in contrast to many other harmful compounds, and thus tend to accumulate to potentially toxic amounts (Sterritt and Lester, 1980). 1,4-Dioxane is a byproduct of the polyester industry and can be found in wastewater made of polyester. Since it is very soluble, mobile, and stable, aquatic ecosystems are thought to be negatively impacted by it. 1,4-dioxane is difficult to remove from wastewater in the environment, and there is a significant chance that surface and groundwater will become contaminated (Zenker et al., 2003). 1,4-dioxane's presence can lead to a number of health issues. For instance, it can seriously harm a person's liver and kidneys (Klečka and Gonsior, 1986).

Numerous researchers have examined polyester wastewater, and numerous polyester wastewater treatment facilities have been constructed, utilizing a blend of anaerobic-aerobic biological processes and pre-treatment techniques (Rott and Minke, 1999). Utilizing combined processes to ensure wastewater satisfies discharge standards was the subject of numerous studies. One method used to treat polyester wastewater with COD influent concentrations of 10,000-12,000 mg/l is the up-flow anaerobic sludge blanket (UASB)-hydrolytic acidification-biological aerated filter (BAF) process, which results in COD effluent concentrations of 150 mg/l (Jun et al., 2007). Additionally, a COD removal rate of 98.7% was attained by treating a polyester wastewater with a COD range of 25,000 to 30,000 mg/l using the steam extracting, anaerobic, biological contact oxidation, and air flotation process (Aijun et al., 2010). examination of the interior microelectrolysis-anaerobic-aerobic process's ability to treat wastewater from polyester, along with a comparison between it and the anaerobic-aerobic process. Combining anaerobic and aerobic technologies with interior microelectrolysis has resulted in high COD removal efficiencies (Yang, 2009). Polyester wastewater was investigated for treatment using chemical oxidation with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and biological treatment of activated sludge process with low organic loading. The biological treatability study's findings showed that, if the system was fed with 1/100 diluted raw wastewater, 80% COD removal could be achieved with a 10-day retention period. 70% of COD was removed from raw wastewater by chemically oxidizing it with  $H_2O_2$  in an acidic environment while utilizing ferric chloride as a catalyst. But in order to achieve this efficiency, roughly 1 kg of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> per m<sup>3</sup> of wastewater was needed (Meric, 1999). Anaerobic treatment's pollution reduction contributes to environmental impact values that can be taken into account in cost-benefit analysis.

Heavy metal which came from industrial wastewater have a significant impact on anaerobic digestion (Huang et al.,2022). Anaerobic digestion is mainly used as initial treatment and thus has the highest exposure to heavy metals (Kadam et al., 2022). Additionally, heavy metals have significant effects on biochemical processes. Depending on their concentration, heavy metals can either stimulate or inhibit biochemical reactions, or even be toxic (Oleszkiewicz and Sharma, 1990). Metal ion toxicity in biological treatments can be quantified using a variety of techniques.

As well as the kinetics of bacterial activity, respiratory activity, enzymatic activity inhibition, and the dynamics of microbial community evolution (Mata-Alvarez et al., 2000; Bayer et al., 2007; Cirne et al., 2007). This is mostly because heavy metals chemically bind to enzymes and microbes, disrupting the structure and functions of the enzymes (Brady and Duncan, 1994; Li and Fang., 2007; Wani et al., 2012). They can form non-specific compounds at relatively high concentrations, which can have cytotoxic effects and change the ideal biochemistry and process performance (Kavamura and Esposito, 2010). Regarding, it has been demonstrated in earlier research that advanced oxidation techniques, such as the Fenton process, ozone, electrochemical oxidation, and others, can be used to analyze 1,4-dioxane in wastewater (Klečka and Gonsior, 1986). According to recent research, 1,4-dioxane can be biodegraded using both pure and mixed cultures. Lee et al. (2022) found that 1,4-dioxane could be broken down in a mixed biological culture cultivated on textile wastewater sludge using CSTR and PFR reactors. Moreover, biodegradation of 1,4-dioxane in bioreactors, biofiltration systems, and biosparging systems has shown that 1,4-dioxane bioremediation is feasible (Tag and Mao., 2023). Compared to other conventional techniques, biological approaches to treatment have a number of advantages, including being less costly, environmentally friendly, simple and safe to operate, and non-sludge producing (Singh et al., 2022).

The objectives of this research are to: (1) evaluate the effectiveness of anaerobic treatment as modeled by the up-flow anaerobic staged reactor (UASR) for treating wastewater containing polyester; (2) examine the impact of heavy metals and the C/N ratio on the rate at which biogas is produced; (3) examine the impact of the loading rate of 1,4 dioxane on the removal efficiency of 1,4 dioxane; and (4) Examining UASR's performance at various HRT.

# 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1 Characteristics of polyester wastewater

In the fourth industrial zone of Borg El Arab, Alexandria, wastewater made of polyester is collected from the chemical industry and used to produce unsaturated polyester resin. Polyester wastewater is categorized as soluble and high strength wastewater, and Table 1 shows its characteristics.

### 2.2 Lab scale UASR reactor

A schematic diagram for UASR is shown in Fig. 1. The UASR has a 42 L volume. The material used to manufacture the UASR reactor was Perspex, and it had a pyramid-shaped bottom. The UASR measured 90 cm in height, 22.5 cm in width, and 22.5 cm in length. The first sludge to be added to the UASR came from the EL Ajami wastewater treatment plant in Alexandria. Sludge concentrations for TS and VS were 45 g/l and 33 g/l, respectively. 15 L, or roughly 33% of the reactor's total volume, of sludge were initially added to the UASR reactor. The reactor had inclined baffles along its height to allow increasing contact time between bacteria and influent substrate. There were five ports along the height.



Fig. 1. Up-flow anaerobic staged reactor schematic diagram (UASR)

Table. I Main characteristics of polyester wastewater				
Polyester wastewater	Values			
pH	$2.33 \pm 0.25$			
$COD_t (mg/l)$	$142666.67 \pm 11015$			
COD <sub>s</sub> (mg/l)	$141990.33 \pm 14199$			
Carbohydrate (mg/l)	$5472.08 \pm 464.65$			
Ammonia (mg/l)	$660.28 \pm 40.002$			
TKN (mg/l)	$1597.42 \pm 240.13$			
TSS (mg/l)	$830 \pm 26.45$			
VSS (mg/l)	$750 \pm 15.28$			
1,4-Dioxane (ppm)	$100.4715 \pm 12.06$			
OLR 0.3 g COD/l.d				
рН	$\textbf{6.99} \pm 0.61$			
$COD_t (mg/l)$	$1205.75 \pm 147.32$			
COD <sub>s</sub> (mg/l)	$1160.33 \pm 160.77$			
Carbohydrate (mg/l)	$51.89 \pm 15.11$			
Ammonia (mg/l)	$6.33 \pm 1.92$			
TKN (mg/l)	$13.44 \pm 3.77$			
TSS (mg/l)	$9.85 \pm 2.89$			
VSS (mg/l)	$7.83 \pm 2.55$			
1,4-Dioxane(ppm)	$1.17 \pm 0.14$			
OLR 0.7 g COD/l.d				
pH	$6.93 \pm 0.66$			
$COD_t (mg/l)$	$2839.17 \pm 328.78$			
$COD_s (mg/l)$	$2744.33 \pm 320.41$			
Carbohydrate (mg/l)	$132.64 \pm 28.26$			
Ammonia (mg/l)	16 49 + 2 17			
TKN (mg/l)	$36.45 \pm 2.94$			
TSS (mg/l)	16.30 + 3.74			
VSS (mg/l)	13.80 + 3.65			
1.4-Dioxane (ppm)	$2.03 \pm 0.18$			
OLR 1.1 g COD/l.d				
pH	7 62 + 0 19			
$COD_{t}(mg/l)$	4275 62 + 228 09			
$COD_{\tau}(mg/l)$	$4275.02 \pm 226.07$ 4187.01 + 1/9.83			
Carbohydrate (mg/l)	$33338 \pm 1886$			
$\Delta$ mmonia (mg/l)	$335.56 \pm 10.60$			
TKN $(mg/l)$	$81.09 \pm 1.72$ $81.27 \pm 4.62$			
TSS $(mg/l)$	$01.27 \pm 4.02$ Ag 20 + 11 25			
VSS (mg/l)	$40.20 \pm 11.33$			
1 4  Diovane (nnm)	$42.30 \pm 7.19$ $4.54 \pm 0.40$			
1,4-Dioxane (ppm)	$4.54 \pm 0.49$			

**Table. 1** Main characteristics of polyester wastewater

#### 2.3 Operation condition

In order to lessen the harmful effects of the high COD (150g/l) and the toxicity of the polyester wastewater, the UASR system was continuously run and fed with wastewater made of polyester

at constant HRT for four days. Average OLR of 0.31, 0.71, and 1.069 g COD /1.d were adjusted by using tap water. A 300:5:1 COD: N: P ratio had been used to supply potassium dihydrogen phosphate (KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>) and ammonium chloride (NH<sub>4</sub>CL) (Aiyuk et al., 2004). Supplementing nutrients is crucial for improving bacterial growth (Sreethawong et al., 2010) due to a low PH Prior to feeding the wastewater made of polyester, the PH was adjusted using NaHCO<sub>3</sub>. Table 2 lists the operational conditions.

**Table. 2** The UASR's operational state

Parameters	UASR
Up-flow velocity. m/h	0.0095.
Flow rate (Q) $m^3/d$	0.00048
Organic loading rate (OLR), g COD/l.d	0.31,0.71,1.069

### 2.4 Analytical methods

Analysis was performed three times per week on raw wastewater samples and effluent from the UASR system: Analysis included pH, COD total, heavy metals, and volatile fatty acids (VFAs) in terms of acetate (HAc), butyrate (HBu), and propionate (HPr). Raw samples were used to determine CODtotal and 0.45µm membrane filtered samples were used for the determination of CODsoluble and heavy metals. All analytical procedures were measured according to APHA (APHA,1998). High performance liquid chromatography was used to analyze VFAs in terms of acetate (HAc), butyrate (HBu), and propionate (HPr) (LC-10AD, Shimadzu, Japan). The column oven had a temperature of 40°C. 4 mM H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> was used as the mobile phase, with a flow rate of 0.5 ml min<sup>-1</sup> for 22 min and 0.4 ml min<sup>-1</sup> for 8 min. A wet gas meter was used to measure the produced gas during the study period. The HPLC and GC analysis processes were carried out in accordance with Nasr and Elsamadony's prior descriptions (Nasr et al., 2015; Elsamadony et al., 2015). A PerkinElmer Model Analyst 200 atomic absorption spectrophotometer (AAS) was used to measure the concentrations of heavy metals which included in polyester wastewater as (Cr, Cu, Fe, Mn, and Ni). In order to prevent metal precipitation and adsorption onto surfaces, liquid samples were first filtered through a 0.45 µm cellulose acetate syringe filter (Sigma Aldrich, USA). The filtrate was then acidified with concentrated nitric acid (pH < 2) and examined for residual heavy metals.

## **3. Results and discussion**

## 3.1. Biogas production and COD removal efficiency

Biogas production at various organic loading rates (OLRs) is displayed in Fig. 2a. According to the available data, the average biogas production value increased significantly from  $7.5 \pm 0.2$  to  $14.7 \pm 0.17$  l/d, while the OLR decreased from  $1.1\pm0.3$  to  $0.7\pm.03$  g COD /1.d., respectively. At  $1.1\pm0.3$  g COD/1.d, the hydrogen consortium bacterium was predominant. Nonetheless, UASR yielded an average biogas production of  $5.5 \pm 0.11$  l/d at low OLR of  $0.3\pm0.04$  g COD /1.d. As the OLR increased from  $0.3\pm0.04$  to  $0.7\pm.01$  and from  $0.7\pm0.01$  to  $1.1\pm0.3$  g COD/1.d, respectively, the organic removal rate (ORR) increased from  $0.15 \pm 0.1$  to  $0.6 \pm 0.1$  g COD/1.d. and decreased from  $0.6 \pm 0.1$  to  $0.03 \pm 0.02$  g COD/1.d. However, on day 100, the presence of high concentrations of heavy metals in the influent wastewater and a high concentration of 1.4 dioxane in the influent caused a significant drop in the rate of organic removal and biogas production.



The removal efficiency of CODt and CODs at different OLR are depicted in Fig. 2c and d. CODt and CODs removal efficiency was  $49.2\pm10$ . 7 and  $50\pm11.6\%$  respectively at OLR of  $0.31\pm0.04$  g COD/l.d., and increased up to  $79.3\pm5.5\%$  and  $80.1\pm5.6\%$  with increasing the OLR up to  $0.7\pm.09$  g COD/l.d. However, the removal efficiency of CODt and CODs dropped dramatically to the minimum value of  $2.3\pm3.21\%$  and  $2.6\pm0.33\%$  at OLR of  $1.069\pm0.27$  g COD/l.d.

Fig. 2a. Biogas production by UASR at different OLR



Fig. 2b. Organic loading versus removal rate



Fig. 2c. CODt removal efficiency at different OLRs





The results presented in Figs. 3 shows the effect of OLR on the conversion efficiency of VFAs as., HAc, HPr, and HLa. The data revealed that increasing the OLR from  $0.31\pm0.037$  to  $0.71\pm.082$  gCOD /1.d., positively affected the conversion efficiency of HAc, where the removal efficiency was increased from  $57.96 \pm 1094\%$  to  $78.81 \pm 11.56\%$ , respectively. Nevertheless, increasing the OLR from  $0.71\pm.082$  to  $1.069\pm0.27$  g COD/1.d., provided an accumulation of HAc in the treated effluent. Similar trends were observed for the consumption of HPr. The HPr conversion efficiency was substantially increased from  $63.6 \pm 6.65\%$  to  $69 \pm 9.85\%$ , by

increasing the OLR from  $0.31\pm0.037$  to  $0.71\pm.082$  g COD/l.d. Further increasing the OLR up to  $1.069\pm0.27$  g COD/l.d., leads to accumulate the HPr concentration in the treated effluent. An amount of  $42.51 \pm 8.77\%$  of HLa was removed at OLR of  $0.31\pm0.037$  g COD/l.d. Also, increasing the OLR from  $0.71\pm.082$  to  $1.069\pm0.27$  g COD/l.d., led to increase of removal efficiency from  $46.9 \pm 7.62\%$  to  $72.1 \pm 2.34\%$ . This indicates that the conversion efficiency of the mixtures of VFAs strongly depends on the imposed OLR. Moreover, each organic acid had its optimal OLR. Similar findings were reported by Lo et al., (2011).





Fig. 3. Effect of organic loading rate on the production of volatile fatty acids

#### 3.2. Effect of C/N ratio and heavy metals on biogas production

The C/N ratio was determined to be an important parameter for biogas production (Demirel and Scherer, 2011). The results in Figs. 4a show that the biogas production rate increased continuously until it reached its maximum peak  $0.35 \pm 0.03$  l/l.d at C/N ratio of  $78.31 \pm 10.48$ , followed by a constant decline, until production decreased completely at day 100 and a C/N ratio of  $52.71 \pm 3.29$  ( $R^2 = 0.9541$ ). Similar findings were made by Nurliyana et al. (2015), who discovered that the effect of carbon to nitrogen (C/N) ratios towards facultative co-digestion of palm oil mill effluent (POME) and empty fruit bunches (EFB) increased with increasing C/N ratio until C/N ratio 45, then decreased with increased C/N ratio (Nurliyana et al., 2015).



Fig. 4a. Coloration between C/N ratio and biogas production rate



Fig. 4b. Effect of Fe and Mn concentration on biogas production rate



Fig. 4c. Effect of Cr, Cu and Ni concentration on biogas production rate

Figs. 4b and c display the biogas production rate at different concentrations of heavy metals (Fe, Mn, Cr, Cu, and Ni), respectively. The biogas production rate was noticeably increased from  $0.132 \pm 0.05$  to  $0.35 \pm 0.03$  l/l. d at increasing the concentration of heavy metals as, Fe from 2.23  $\pm 0.79$  to  $12.81 \pm 1.04$ , Mn from  $0.71 \pm 0.11$  to  $5.34 \pm 4.48$ , Cr from  $0.536 \pm 0.22$  to  $1.48 \pm 0.72$ , Cu from  $0.249 \pm 0.12$  to  $1.36 \pm 0.96$ , and Ni from  $0.918 \pm 0.15$  to  $3.18 \pm 0.99$  mg/l. This may be the result of numerous enzymes and co-enzymes activating or functioning in the presence of low concentration of heavy metals (Demirel and Scherer , 2011). Nonetheless, there was a noticeable drop in the rate of biogas production rate from  $0.35 \pm 0.03$  to  $0.179 \pm 0.02$  l/l.d at increasing concentration of heavy metals by Fe from  $12.81 \pm 1.04$  to  $25.73 \pm 2.58$ , Mn from  $5.34 \pm 4.48$  to  $27.07 \pm 5.41$ , Cr from  $1.48 \pm 0.72$  to  $6.66 \pm 0.98$ , Cu from  $1.36 \pm 0.96$  to  $3.82 \pm 0.58$  and Ni from  $3.18 \pm 0.99$  to  $6.92 \pm 1.45$  mg/l respectively, with correlation coefficients of R<sup>2</sup> = 0.926 for Fe, R<sup>2</sup> = 0.877 for Mn, R<sup>2</sup> = 0.767 for Cr, R<sup>2</sup> = 0.883 for Cu and R<sup>2</sup> = 0.847 for Ni.

#### 3.3. Effect of 1,4-dioxane loading rate on 1,4-dioxane removal efficiency

The efficiency of 1,4-dioxane removal in an up-flow anaerobic staged reactor (UASR) is displayed in Fig. 5. When the 1,4-dioxane loading rate was 0.00027 kg/m<sup>3</sup>.day, the maximum 1,4-dioxane removal efficiency was noted. Comparatively, the location with the lowest 1,4-dioxane removal efficiency had a loading rate of 0.0011 kg/m<sup>3</sup>.day for 1,4-dioxane. The removal efficiency for 1,4-dioxane loading rates of 0.00027,.00049, and 0.0011 kg/m<sup>3</sup>.day was 51.83%, 35.9%, and 26.3%, respectively. When the 1,4-dioxane loading rate was lower, the removal efficiency was higher; when the loading rate was higher, it was lower. The findings demonstrated that the COD:1,4-dioxane ratio had an impact on the removal efficiency of 1,4-dioxane; at lower ratios, the removal efficiency was comparatively higher than those at higher ratios, which may have inhibited the degradation of 1,4-dioxane Similar results were achieved by (Han et al., 2012).



Fig. 5. 1,4-dioxane removal efficiency at different loading rate

#### 3.4. Performance of UASR at different HRTs

The steady state COD removal efficiencies for the UASR at various HRTs and OLR 0.7 g COD/l.d. are displayed in Fig. 6, five different HRTs (96, 77, 58, 38 and 19 hrs, respectively) utilized to look into the impact of HRT. Furthermore, in accordance with the disposal regulations, the admissible HRT to be applied for optimal removal can be ascertained. The outcomes showed that reducing HRT from 96 to 19 hours had an important effect on the efficiency of COD removal, which decreased from  $83.11 \pm 2.97$  to  $16.51 \pm 0.53$  %. Additionally, the removal efficiency dropped gradually at the first three HRT 96, 77, and 58 hours to  $53.66 \pm 1.46$  %. But at 38 hours of HRT, its value significantly declined by nearly 50% to  $34.14 \pm 1.27$ %. The accumulation of soluble microbial products (SMP) by acidogenesis was the cause of the specific decline in system removal efficiency at the lowest HRT of 19 hours (Langenhoff et al., 2000).



Fig. 6. Time course variation of COD versus HRT

According to Table 3 results, acetic acid, lactic acid, and a trace amount of propionic acid were the primary metabolic products of the methane production process. At the ideal HRT (96 hours), a low concentration of acetic acid was obtained, indicating effective methane production from VFA. Reduced HRT from 96 to 19 hours led to lower consumption of acetic acid and higher concentrations of lactic acid, which were correlated with higher concentrations of propionic acid. This outcome could be the result of the anaerobic digestion of lactic acid, which is typically thought to be a precursor of propionic acid (Min et al., 1993; Costello et al., 1991). The HRT 19 hours had the highest lactic acid concentration (578.77 mg/l), suggesting that lactic acid bacteria could proliferate and were more active there than at the other HRT. The efficiency of methane production was reduced by the fermentation system's lactic acid production. Lactic acid could break down the sludge granules' structure and further reduce the amount of specific methanogenic activity (Liu, 2001). An unwanted intermediate product of the methanogenesis process is propionic acid (Fang and Yu, 2002). This is because, in contrast to acetate and butyrate, propionate's methanogenesis during the anaerobic digestion (AD) process was slower. This is because the hydrogen partial pressure must be less than 103 Pa for propionate to convert to acetate, as per Gibb's free energy calculations. Practically speaking, though, the hydrogen partial pressure during the AD process typically rises above 103 Pa (Meng et al., 2013). As a result, propionate either does not convert to acetate at all or does so slowly. Furthermore, propionic acid prevents the growth of methanogenic bacteria (Barredo and Evison, 1991). As the HRT was lowered, the total VFA rose (Table 3).

Parameter	Initial	HRT (19 h)	HRT (38 h)	HRT (58 h)	HRT (77 h)	HRT (96 h)
Acetic acid	$1551.22 \pm$	$987.16 \pm$	$1005.67 \pm$	$678.09 \pm$	$395.13 \pm$	$310.25 \pm$
(mg/l)	30.31	19.72	19.40	30.37	23.98	19.62
Propionic	$96.62\pm3.94$	$68.43 \pm 2.53$	$65.10\pm2.41$	$53.82 \pm 2.82$	$48.97 \pm 1.81$	$44.35 \pm 1.98$
acid (mg/l)						
Lactic acid	$684.83 \pm$	$578.77 \pm$	$337.19 \pm$	$321.01 \pm$	$303.42 \pm$	$300.46 \pm$
(mg/l)	20.76	16.71	10.64	8.50	8.42	17.55
Total VFA	$2332.67 \pm$	$1634.36 \pm$	$1407.96 \pm$	$1052.92 \pm$	$747.52 \pm$	$655.06 \pm$
(mg/l)	80.11	90.35	83.49	63.72	52.64	38.61

Table. 3 VFAs compositions, in terms of acetic, propionic and lactic acid, variations with the different HRT

### 4. Conclusions

In this research, an analysis of the up-flow anaerobic staged reactor's performance was conducted. The results showed that. The rate of organic removal and biogas production decreased significantly due to the increase of 1,4-dioxane above  $4.21 \pm 0.30$  mg/l and heavy metals represented in (Cu, Mn, Cr, Fe, Ni) on  $3.82 \pm 0.58$ ,  $27.07 \pm 5.42$ ,  $6.66 \pm 0.98$ ,  $25.73 \pm 2.58$  and  $6.92\pm1.45$  mg/l, respectively in effluent wastewater, that matching to an OLR of 1.07 g COD/l.d. Additionally, the rate of biogas production was observed to be correlated with an increasing C/N ratio up to a C/N ratio of  $78.31 \pm 10.48$ , after which it decreased as the C/N ratio increased. The operation of varying HRT was conducted to examine its impact on the performance of UASR (in terms of COD). The COD removal efficiencies dropped dramatically with the reduction in HRT, from 83.11% at 96 hours of HRT to 16.51% at 19 hours of HRT. The obtained experimental results showed that uasr can effectively treat polyester wastewater at HRT of 96 hrs and an OLR of  $0.71\pm.082$  g COD/l.d.

### Acknowledgment

The 1<sup>st</sup> author is very grateful for ministry of high education and civil engineering department, faculty of engineering Aswan University (Egypt) funded this work.

## References

- Aijun T., Shui W., Wei L., Lisen B., Ming G. (2010). Treatment of polyester wastewater by steam extracting anaerobic-biological contact oxidation-air flotation technology. Environ. Sci. Technol. 23, (1), 40.
- Aiyuk, S., Amoako, J., Raskin, L., Van Haandel, A., & Verstraete, W. (2004). Removal of carbon and nutrients from domestic wastewater using a low investment, integrated treatment concept. Water research, 38(13), 3031-3042.
- APHA AWWA, W. P. C. F. (1998). Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater 20th edition. American Public Health Association, American Water Work Association, Water Environment Federation, Washington, DC.
- Barredo, M. S., & Evison, L. (1991). Effect of propionate toxicity on methanogen-enriched sludge, Methanobrevibacter smithii, and Methanospirillum hungatii at different pH values. Applied and environmental microbiology, 57(6), 1764-1769.

- Bayer, E. A., Lamed, R., & Himmel, M. E. (2007). The potential of cellulases and cellulosomes for cellulosic waste management. Current opinion in Biotechnology, 18(3), 237-245.
- Brady, D., & Duncan, J. R. (1994). Binding of heavy metals by the cell walls of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Enzyme and Microbial Technology, 16(7), 633-638.
- Cirne, D. G., Paloumet, X., Björnsson, L., Alves, M. M., & Mattiasson, B. (2007). Anaerobic digestion of lipid-rich waste—effects of lipid concentration. Renewable energy, 32(6), 965-975.
- Costello, D. J., Greenfield, P. F., & Lee, P. L. (1991). Dynamic modelling of a single-stage highrate anaerobic reactor—I. Model derivation. Water research, 25(7), 847-858.
- Demirel, B., & Scherer, P. (2011). Trace element requirements of agricultural biogas digesters during biological conversion of renewable biomass to methane. Biomass and bioenergy, 35(3), 992-998.
- Demirel, B., & Scherer, P. (2011). Trace element requirements of agricultural biogas digesters during biological conversion of renewable biomass to methane. Biomass and bioenergy, 35(3), 992-998.
- Elsamadony, M., Tawfik, A., & Suzuki, M. (2015). Surfactant-enhanced biohydrogen production from organic fraction of municipal solid waste (OFMSW) via dry anaerobic digestion. Applied Energy, 149, 272-282.
- Fang, H. H. P., & Chan, O. C. (1997). Toxicity of electroplating metals on benzoate-degrading granules. Environmental technology, 18(1), 93-99.
- Fang, H. H., & Yu, H. (2002). Mesophilic acidification of gelatinaceous wastewater. Journal of Biotechnology, 93(2), 99-108.
- Han, T. H., Han, J. S., So, M. H., Seo, J. W., Ahn, C. M., Min, D. H., ... & Kim, C. G. (2012). The removal of 1, 4-dioxane from polyester manufacturing process wastewater using an upflow biological aerated filter (UBAF) packed with tire chips. Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part A, 47(1), 117-129.
- Huang, Z., Niu, Q., Nie, W., Li, X., & Yang, C. (2022). Effects of heavy metals and antibiotics on performances and mechanisms of anaerobic digestion. Bioresource Technology, 127683.
- Jun, Z., Gang, Z., Jian, W., Guangchun, L., (2007). Application of UASB-hydrolytic acidification-biological filter process in treatment of high-concentration polyester wastewater. China Water & Wastewater. 23, (1), 315.
- Kadam, R., Khanthong, K., Jang, H., Lee, J., & Park, J. (2022). Occurrence, fate, and implications of heavy metals during anaerobic digestion: a review. Energies, 15(22), 8618.
- Kavamura, V. N., & Esposito, E. (2010). Biotechnological strategies applied to the decontamination of soils polluted with heavy metals. Biotechnology advances, 28(1), 61-69.
- Klečka, G. M., & Gonsior, S. J. (1986). Removal of 1, 4-dioxane from wastewater. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 13(2), 161-168.
- Langenhoff, A. A., Intrachandra, N., & Stuckey, D. C. (2000). Treatment of dilute soluble and colloidal wastewater using an anaerobic baffled reactor: influence of hydraulic retention time. Water Research, 34(4), 1307-1317.

- Lee, K. H., Khan, I. A., Inam, M. A., Khan, R., Wie, Y. M., & Yeom, I. T. (2022). Efficacy of continuous flow reactors for biological treatment of 1, 4-dioxane contaminated textile wastewater using a mixed culture. Fermentation, 8(4), 143.
- Li, C., & Fang, H. H. (2007). Inhibition of heavy metals on fermentative hydrogen production by granular sludge. Chemosphere, 67(4), 668-673.
- Liu, Y. L. (2001). Study on law of the anaerobic microbial physioecology in two-phase anaerobic digestion system (Doctoral dissertation, Ph. D Thesis. Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin, China).
- Lo, Y. C., Chen, C. Y., Lee, C. M., & Chang, J. S. (2011). Photo fermentative hydrogen production using dominant components (acetate, lactate, and butyrate) in dark fermentation effluents. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 36(21), 14059-14068.
- Mata-Alvarez, J., Macé, S., & Llabres, P. (2000). Anaerobic digestion of organic solid wastes. An overview of research achievements and perspectives. Bioresource technology, 74(1), 3-16.
- Meng, X., Zhang, Y., Li, Q., & Quan, X. (2013). Adding Fe0 powder to enhance the anaerobic conversion of propionate to acetate. Biochemical engineering journal, 73, 80-85.
- Meric, S., Kabdaşli, I., Tünay, O., & Orhon, D. (1999). Treatability of strong wastewaters from polyester manufacturing industry. Water science and technology, 39(10-11), 1-7.
- Min, H., Chen, M. C., Zhao, Y. H., & Qian, Z. S. (1993). Anaerobic microbiology.
- Nasr, M., Tawfik, A., Ookawara, S., Suzuki, M., Kumari, S., & Bux, F. (2015). Continuous biohydrogen production from starch wastewater via sequential dark-photo fermentation with emphasize on maghemite nanoparticles. Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry, 21, 500-506.
- Nurliyana, M. Y., H'ng, P. S., Rasmina, H., Kalsom, M. U., Chin, K. L., Lee, S. H., ... & Khoo, G. D. (2015). Effect of C/N ratio in methane productivity and biodegradability during facultative co-digestion of palm oil mill effluent and empty fruit bunch. Industrial Crops and Products, 76, 409-415.
- Oleszkiewicz, J. A., & Sharma, V. K. (1990). Stimulation and inhibition of anaerobic processes by heavy metals—a review. Biological Wastes, 31(1), 45-67.
- Peng, G., Tian, G., Liu, J., Bao, Q., & Zang, L. (2011). Removal of heavy metals from sewage sludge with a combination of bioleaching and electrokinetic remediation technology. Desalination, 271(1-3), 100-104.
- Rott, U., & Minke, R. (1999). Overview of wastewater treatment and recycling in the textile processing industry. Water Science and Technology, 40(1), 137-144.
- Singh, A., Pal, D. B., Mohammad, A., Alhazmi, A., Haque, S., Yoon, T., ... & Gupta, V. K. (2022). Biological remediation technologies for dyes and heavy metals in wastewater treatment: New insight. Bioresource Technology, 343, 126154.
- Sreethawong, T., Niyamapa, T., Neramitsuk, H., Rangsunvigit, P., Leethochawalit, M., & Chavadej, S. (2010). Hydrogen production from glucose-containing wastewater using an anaerobic sequencing batch reactor: Effects of COD loading rate, nitrogen content, and organic acid composition. Chemical Engineering Journal, 160(1), 322-332.

- Sterritt, R. M., & Lester, J. N. (1980). Interactions of heavy metals with bacteria. Science of the Total environment, 14(1), 5-17.
- Tang, Y., & Mao, X. (2023). Recent Advances in 1, 4-Dioxane Removal Technologies for Water and Wastewater Treatment. Water, 15(8), 1535.
- Vallee, B. L., & Ulmer, D. D. (1972). Biochemical effects of mercury, cadmium, and lead. Annual review of biochemistry, 41(1), 91-128.
- Wani, P. A., Khan, M. S., & Zaidi, A. (2012). Toxic effects of heavy metals on germination and physiological processes of plants. In Toxicity of heavy metals to legumes and bioremediation (pp. 45-66). Vienna: Springer Vienna.
- Yang, X. (2009). Interior microelectrolysis oxidation of polyester wastewater and its treatment technology. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 169(1-3), 480-485.
- Zenker, M. J., Borden, R. C., & Barlaz, M. A. (2003). Occurrence and treatment of 1, 4-dioxane in aqueous environments. Environmental Engineering Science, 20(5), 423-432.
- Zupančič, G. D., & Grilc, V. (2012). Anaerobic treatment and biogas production from organic waste. Management of organic waste, 2.